MINUTES

of an adjourned regular meeting of the City Council, City of Redlands, held in the Council Chambers, Safety Hall, 212 Brookside Avenue on January 30, 1973 at 4:00 P.M.

PRESENT

Charles G. DeMirjyn, Vice Mayor Ellsworth E. Miller, Councilman Sam S. Sewall, Councilman

R. P. Merritt, Jr., City Manager Edward F. Taylor, City Attorney Peggy A. Moseley, City Clerk Erwin S. Hein, Redlands Daily Facts Chuck Palmer, San Bernardino Sun

ABSENT

Jack B. Cummings, Mayor Chresten M. Knudsen, Councilman

This adjourned Council meeting was scheduled for the purpose of: (1) award of sale of \$250,000 1962 Sewer Bonds; (2) award of construction contracts for sewage treatment plant; (3) other business as required.

BIDS

Bids for \$250,000 "1962 Sewer Bonds, Series B" were opened and publicly declared in the office of the City Clerk at 2:00 P.M. this date as previously advertised.

Premium

Bank of America San Francisco, Calif.

Net Interest \$60,991.00 Cost 4.4357% Premium 0

9.00

Bank of California Redlands, Calif.

Net Interest \$61,375.00 Cost 4.4636%

BIDS	(Conti	nued)
------	--------	-------

	Crocker National Bank San Francisco, Calif.	Premium \$ 3.25 Net Interest \$61,609.25 Cost 4.4806%
	First Western Bank Los Angeles, Calif.	Premium \$ 0 Net Interest \$60,350.00 Cost 4.389%
Sewer Bonds	Security Pacific National Bank Los Angeles, Calif.	Premium \$ 22.00 Net Interest \$60,853.00 Cost 4.42567%
	United California Bank Los Angeles, Calif.	Premium \$ 16.00 Net Interest \$60,759.00 Cost 4.418836%
	United States National Bank San Diego, Calif.	Premium \$ 37.50 Net Interest \$61,412.00 Cost 4.46636%
	Weeden and Company Los Angeles, Calif.	Premium \$ 0 Net Interest \$59,350.00 Cost 4.316%
	Wells Fargo Bank San Francisco, Calif.	Premium \$ 17.50 Net Interest \$62,107.50 Cost 4.5169%

Each bid was accompanied by a good faith check in the amount of \$5,000. All bids were referred to a committee for checking and computation with a report thereon to be given to Council at the 4:00 P.M. meeting.

At this time, it is the report of the committee appointed to check and compute the bids that the bid of Weeden and Company is the bid yielding the lowest net cost to the city: \$59,350.00.

Resolution No. 3020 Bid Award

After considering each of the bids and the report thereon, on motion of Councilman Sewall, seconded by Councilman Miller, Resolution No. 3020, a resolution of the City Council of the City of Redlands awarding \$250,000 bonds of said city to the best bidder and rejecting all other bids, was adopted by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Councilmen Miller, Sewall, Vice Mayor DeMirjyn NOES: None
ABSENT: Councilman Knudsen, Mayor Cummings

At this time, Council thanked Mr. Ed Hyland of Stone and Youngberg Municipal $^{\rm F}$ inancing Consultants, Inc. for his assistance. Mr. Hyland expressed satisfaction with the number and competitiveness of the bids and stated that the low interest rate reflected the city's AA rating.

BIDS

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Bids on the modification and expansion of Redlands wastewater treatment and disposal facilities were received and publicly opened in the office of the City Clerk on December 20, 1972 at 10:00 A.M. Bids were received from seven contractors as indicated on the attached sheets. (See Page 371 & 372)

The bids were reviewed by the staff, referred to the consultant engineer John Carollo and to the State Water Resources Control Board.

At this time, it is the recommendation of Utilities Superintendent,
Jack Shefchik, that following receipt of a grant increase from the Environmental Protection Agency; recommendation of John Carollo Engineers;
and verbal approval of bid award from Leslie Delbon of the State Water
Resources Control Board; that award be made as follows:

BIDS (Continued)

Schedule I - \$3,375,000 Alternate Bid I - \$ 51,000 Alternate Bid II - \$ 91,000 Alternate Bid III - \$ 154,000

Total - \$3,671,000

This contract to be awarded to the E. L. Yeager Construction Company of Riverside as their bid is the lowest received.

Schedule II - \$348,958

Bid Award Sewer Treatment Facility This contract to be awarded to Jesse Hubbs and Son of Rialto, as their bid is the lowest submitted for Schedule II.

Following discussion, on motion of Councilman Sewall, seconded by Councilman Miller, award of this contract as described above, was authorized subject to receipt of written approval on both extension of the State Grant, and confirmation of low bid award by the State Water Resources Control Board by AYE votes of all present.

On motion of Councilman Miller, seconded by Councilman Sewall, two grant deeds were accepted and the City Manager authorized to execute the certificates of acceptance on behalf of the city as follows:

Grant Deeds

Stover and Norman

Parking Lot #3

Proposed

Escrow

to the City of Redlands from Eva B. Stover for property at 118 East Redlands Boulevard.

to the City of Redlands from Oral E. and Marjorie E. Norman for property at 126 and 128 East Redlands Boulevard.

These two properties are being purchased for future parking facilities.

City Manager Merritt presented a recommendation from the Board of Parking Place Commissioners that an option to purchase the property presently identified as Parking Lot #3 located on the northeast corner of Redlands Boulevard and Fifth Street be granted to Life Development Company in the amount of \$100,000 with option to run 12 months at \$100 per month. Council discussed this matter at length, noted that the appraisal of \$100,000 had been made in 1970 and added that it was broad public knowledge that the property was for sale.

Council discussed this at length. City Attorney Taylor recommended that a public hearing be held to determine that there is no longer a public need for this property.

Councilman Miller moved that the Council accept the option with the reservation that a public hearing be held at the Council meeting on February 20 to determine that a public use no longer exists. Motion was seconded by Councilman Sewall and carried by AYE votes of all present.

Resolution No. 3019

Salary Schedule City Manager Merritt presented Resolution No. 3019, a resolution of the City of Redlands amending the salary schedule to increase the number of full time positions in the Department of Public Works, Shop Division, from 7 to 8 personnel to include an additional equipment mechanic. Following discussion, on motion of Councilman Sewall, seconded by Councilman Miller, Resolution No. 3019 was adopted by AYE votes of all present.

Mr. Urban Derkum, representing Citrus Village at Cypress and Palm on Redlands Boulevard, requested a meeting between representatives of

CITY MANAGER (Continued)

Citrus Village

the city staff, City Council, and his firm. Following discussion, a meeting was scheduled for Thursday, February 1 at 12:00 noon, to be attended by Councilman Miller, Planning Director Schindler, Planning Commissioner Max Kreston and representatives of Citrus Village.

Mr. Joseph Aiello, of 1125 Ramona Drive, addressed Council as representing neighbors in the area of the Highland Acres PRD development of Citrus Investments, Inc. He stated that the neighbors do not want the PRD development on that property, do not feel that it will be beneficial to the area, took exception to the Environmental Impact Study and asked for a delay in the development.

PRD

Planning Director Schindler explained that the Environmental Review Committee compared the alternate plan for the same area with consideration of grading, appearance and drainage, and concluded that the PRD was a satisfactory use of the land.

The Council and other neighbors spoke on this matter at extremely great length.

Mrs. F. D. Atkinson also addressed Council. Vice Mayor DeMirjyn repeated his statement that he would prefer the PRD with a lower density. Planning Director Schindler stated that he originally recommended a lower density. Councilman Sewall reiterated that he believed the PRD development allowed more open space and paid less "homage to the almighty auto." City Attorney Taylor expressed sympathy with the neighbors in their feeling and stated that the city ordinances have been complied with in this matter and that the only recourse at this point would be that of legal action.

Councilman Sewall added that density can be considered from two points of view; one being the number of units in a prescribed area, the other, the number of people occupying the units in that same area.

There being no further discussion, Council adjourned at 5:20 P.M. Next regular meeting, February 6, 1973.

ATTEST:

TREATMENT PLANT - NAME AND ADDRESS OF BIDDERS:

- 1000 Elwell Court, Palo Alto, California, 94303
- -t.i.i. & Nordick Post Uttice Box 2/9, Baldwin Park, California, 91/UK
- 3. Jesse Hubbs & Sons Post Office Box 490, Rialto, California. 92376
- 4. C. Norman Peterson Company Post Office Box 89, Berkeley, California, 94701
- *5. Weardco Construction Corporation 10910 Shoemaker Avenue, Santa Fe, California, 90670
- 6. E. L. Yeager Construction Co., Inc. Post Office Box 87, Riverside, California, 92502
- *7. Ziebarth & Alper Post Office Box 4605, Carson, California, 90745

*Will not accept Schedule II only.

ALTERNATE BID ITEMS

Alternate #1: Add Septage Receiving Station. Alternate #7: Add or Deduct 24" Ductile Iron Pipe.

Alternate #8: Deduct 12" MJCIP from the Nitrification Basin. Alternate #2: Add Solids Dewatering Facility.

Alternate #3: Add Final Effluent Filtration System. Alternate #9: Deduct One (1) Digester Gas Compressor.

Alternate #4: Add Additional Rock Facing. Alternate #10: Deduct Glass Lined Pipe. Alternate #5: Add or Deduct 27" CML & C Steel Pipe.

Alternate #11: Deduct 36" RCP, 30" RCP, 21" RCP. Alternate #6: Add or Deduct 24" CML & C Steel Pipe. Alternate #12: Deduct Trickling Filter Clarifier.

BID TABULATION FOR WASTEWATER TREATMENT MODIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONS, DECEMBER 20, 1972

Bid Item	Bidders							
Base Bid, Schedule 1	1 \$4,600,000	2 3,445,000	No Bid	,3,936,500,	*5 3,470,000	6 3,375,000	*7 3,382,000	
Base Bid, Schedule 2	463,900	625,000	348,958	552,600	408,000	424,000	420,000	
Deduct Price, (Award to one contractor)	0	100,000	No Bid	100,000	5,000	13,500	0	
Total Base Bid (Schedule 1 plus Schedule 2 less Deduct)	5,063,900	3,970,000	No Bid	4,389,100	3,873,000	3,785,500	3,802,000	
Alter. Bid Item #1-Add	75,000	52,000	No Bid	56,000	51,000	51,000	54,000	
Alter. Bid Item #2-Add	125,000	93,000	No Bid	92,000	92,000	91,000	90,000	
Alter. Bid Item #3-Add	210,000	169,000	No Bid	174,000	166,000	154,000	161,000	
Alter. Bid Item #4-Add	15,000	19,000	11,300	29,500	12,500	15,000	16,000	
Alter. Bid Item #5 - Add or Deduct	29.00	19.39	45.00	28.00	17.00	27.00	21.00	
Alter. Bid Item #6 - Add or Deduct	27.00	18.00	44.00	27.00	16.00	26.00	20.00	
Alter. Bid Item #7 - Add or Deduct	39.00	19.70	No Bid	41.00	29.00	40.00	28.00	
Alter. Bid Item #8-Deduct	6,000	5,000	No Bid	7,000	4,000	2,900	5,400	
Alter. Bid Item #9-Deduct	2,500	3,000	No Bid	4,500	3,400	2,700	3,000	
Alter. Bid Item #10-Deduc	t 4,000	10,000	No Bid	8,900	7,400	7,500	5,700	
Alter. Bid Item #11-Deduc	t 6,500	4,000	No Bid	9,200	6,400	5,800	7,500	
Alter. Bid Item #12-Deduc	t 134,000	85,000	No Bid	94,000	70,000	74,000	93,000	

0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0