

"Suggested additions or corrections to the minutes of the City Council Meeting of March 6, 1973, as presented by Warren Hooper - "

"First, I want to make it clear that I am not being critical of the minutes as taken by Mrs. Moseley; I realize this is not court reporting with every word recorded.

"I read the minutes of both the Planning Commission and Council meetings and feel my position was not presented in its proper light. I would not have questioned the minutes except that the WRONG INTERPRETATION OF MY REMARKS have become the subject matter for several news articles, including 2 Facts editorials, and Mr. Sewall again referred to my statement as 'incorrect' in last week's Planning Commission meeting which I did not attend.

"I wish to point out the following issues which are at stake:

"1. Reference has been made several times to my 'misrepresentations' relative to a meeting between Levitt & Sons and Mr. Merritt. At last Council meeting I asked that my remarks - referred to as MISREPRESENTATIVE - be re-read. They were, and I said that, (except for my error of including Bill Schindler whom I had thought at the time had been in attendance), I would stand by my statement as being correct. I do not accept that there was any mis-representation involved in my statement. And, until such times as it might be determined that there was, I prefer it not be so referred to again.

"2. In both the Planning Commission meeting and the Council meeting, I continually stressed that Levitt would NOT be building (190) \$22,000 houses - yet this is what came out in BOTH newspapers. The Facts even included \$22,000 in an editorial written about the issue.

"It was stressed so carefully that, in your remarks, Mr. Mayor, in explaining your vote, you used the term \$22,000 (or even if they were \$50,000) you could not vote for the zone change at this time.

"3. I don't believe the Planning Commission relied upon my statements relative to the meeting with the City Manager nearly as much as this body (you, Mr. Mayor and Mr. Sewall) did rely upon Mr. Sewall's remarks that no residence, with a fair market value lower than \$30,000 pays its way in our town. I believe this statement should certainly have been included in the minutes and my reply to it that, 'I had heard that same statement made in other community hearings and that I could not accept it.' And I might add that I have heard those figures challenged before and substantiating data has not been produced.

"I also tried to put you on the spot, Mr. Mayor, by offering to accept an approval qualified by a stipulation that the 190 home subdivision be spread over 3 or even 4 years. You turned it down, but I submit it should have been in the minutes.

"Mr. Mayor, I would like to recommend that these corrections or additions be incorporated in the minutes of the Council meeting of March 6, 1973."

-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-