MINUTES

of a special meeting of the City Council, City of Redlands, held in the Council Chambers, Safety Hall, 212 Brookside Avenue, on Septemner 30. 1980. at 3:00 P.M.

PRESENT

Charles G. DeMirjyn, Mayor James W. Gorman, Vice Mayor Oddie J. Martinez, Jr., Councilmember Barbara Cram Riordan, Councilmember Ken Roth, Councilmember

Chris C. Christiansen, City Manager Bruce C. Atkins, Attorney Peggy A. Moseley, City Clerk Ted Randolph, Redlands Daily Facts Sam Nicholas, The Sun

ABSENT

None

This special meeting of the City Council was called for the purpose of making determinations on the 1980 Building Permit Allocations under Proposition "R" and Ordinances Nos. 1680 and 1717.

Resolution No. 3688 Fixing Date Resolution No. 3688, adopted July 1, 1980, established this date as the final date for allocation of all building permits for the year 1980. This resolution was adopted for the reason that: "circumstances relating to the requests made by the Redlands Unified School District Board of Education required additional time for procedural implementation and evaluation of the first semiannual allocation and the second seminannual allocation."

Before taking up the recommendations of the Planning Commission on the allocaltions, Mayor DeMirjyn asked for comments from those present in the audience.

Mr. James D. Stroffe, attorney for C-Y Development and Harvest Investors restated his presentation to the Planning Commission. He expressed disatisfaction with the allocation of the total 450 units in one action rather than consideration of two 225-unit segiments; he protested the decision regarding No. 7a under Section II, Sanitary Sewage Collection, and recommended that C-Y Development be allowed to mitigate by replacing the 25-year-old sewer over one mile from the development in order that there be "no City contribution." Mr. Stroffe's points are documented in the Planning Commission minutes dated September 23, 1980.

Mr. Stoffe discussed what he considered mitigation permitted under other sections of the ordinance; he also took issue with the point allocation under Police Protection, No. 3, Section II; and under Section II, No. 4, Drainage, he stated that a system of retention basins and plantings could alleviate the downstream drainage flow. He added that this matter should be

a requirement under site-development and not a factor in point evaluation.

Mrs. Ingrid Mack of I. Mack Development, expressed dissatisfaction with "lumping together" of two 225-unit allocations into one 450-unit decision; that points were awarded under the architectural category for a lot-sale mobile home park; police point allocation, drainage points, and grading requirements. She stressed that her 28-unit application should be awarded points for reduced density and open space.

Council received explanations of the methods used in project evaluations in Public Works, Planning, and the Police Department from the department heads. Mr. Schindler added that every applicant was notified of this meeting. Mr. Donnelly explained the sewer rating system in detail and explained sewer flow volume evaluations. Chief Brickley gave the police response time now in effect in the City and what resulting change would be brought about by development.

Mr. Bob Nastase stated that he believed all applicants would find fault with the ratings, and that he felt the staff had uniformly applied the ordinance and had done a good job.

Mr. Jim Coffin addressed Council to state that the only reason that government exists is to meet and mitigate problems, and if Council does not solve the problems, they are not doing the job.

1980 Building Permit Allocations Mayor DeMirjyn then closed the public hearing. Council discussed the matters presented, particularly the unfairness of making any change in the rating points at this time. Councilman Roth, seconded by Councilman Gorman, moved to not make any change at this time in the Police Allocation Points. Motion adopted unanimously.

In response to Mr. Stroffe's comments from the floor, Mayor DeMirjyn moved as follows: "All applications for building allocations have been reviewed by the City Council, that they in fact agree with what has been recommended by staff as well as the Planning Commission, and I move that we move along and make the allocations as presented." This motion was seconded by Councilman Riordan, and adopted unanimously.

Councilman Roth then brought up the Planning Commission's action on Environmental and Esthetic points which was discussed briefly. Councilman Riordan urged that since the change discussed did not alter the position of the applicants on the Allocation Agenda, this change be addressed at a later time, and the allocations be made according to the staff recommendation to the Planning Commission. Mrs. Riordan so moved; motion seconded by Councilman Roth and unanimously adopted.

Community Development Director Schindler then read the recommendations and Council voted unanimously as follows:

		Applicant	Units	Motion
RDA	#80-2A	Parkwest Development	40	Martinez/Riordan
RDA	A8-08#	A.C. Nejedly & Jay Andrews	50	Riordan/Martinez
RDA	#80-1B	A.C. Nejedly & Jay Andrews	16	Gorman/Riordan
RDA	#80-12A	Landco Development	8	Martinez/Riordan
RDA	#80-3A	Mateo Development	9	Roth/Gorman
RDA	#80-4A	Crowell Industries	47	Riordan/Gorman
RDA	#80-5A	Crowell Industries	50	Gorman/Roth

Chromosophic Control		Applicant	Unit	s Motion		
	RDA #80-8B	Crowell Industries	40	Riordan/Gorman		
	RDA #80-9B	Crowell Industries	23	Martinez/ Roth		
	RDA #80-17A	Karahalious Investment & Eastern Pacific Development	50	Riordan/Martinez		
	Injected at this time was a protest to awarding any more than 29 units to					
.980	RDA #80-17A for the reason that more units would exceed the 225 allocation					
uilding ermit	for "A" applica	ints.				
ions	RDA #80-2B	Karahlious Investment & Eastern Pacific Development	50	Riordan/Martinez		
	RDA #80-10A	KKK Corporation	14	Riordan/Gorman		
T-01/CO	RDA #80-6B	Duplication				
maligratura area	RDA #80-13A	M. L. Investment	23	Gorman/Riordan		
	RDA #80-16A	Bob A. Tee Development Co.	12	Riordan/Gorman		
	RDA #80-6A	Brattain Contractors	18	Roth/Gorman		
	There being no further business, Council adjourned, on motion, at 5:10 P					
	Next regular meeting, October 7, 1980.					
NI PRINCIPALIZATION PRI		A. A.	ENE	Maryon		

ATTEST:

0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0